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Abstract

The pramana is the tools to develop belief and confidence of scholar in the science. These principles are mutually inter-related to understand the subject Ayurveda principles can also be better understood with more practical examples. In anumana pramana, panchavayavakya can be used as important tool to learn clinical skill for new scholars. In present article, review of concept of panchavayava has been done to explore clinical approach for understand its practical utility.
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Introduction-

There is various tools and techniques that can be used to understand the Ayurveda principles. Their practical utility and application promotes the scholars to use with ease in developing confidence and faith for their stream. Scholars who newly introduce in Ayurveda subjects cannot be aware of many new things about literature. Many times scholars remain confused about practical applicability and utility of Ayurveda principles. So it is need of time to interpret the Ayurveda principles in new light of applicability to create interests in scholars to have more faith for its practical utility.

Pramanās are most essential part of Ayurveda literatures. Of which anumanaparamna has its own importance. The diagnosis of disease is an important part in which anumanpramana plays an important role. By the use of panchvayavā the vaidhyā can be able to diagnose the disease.

Panchavayavavakya \(^1\) is only process by which both swarthanumān (one's own inference) and pararthanumān (inference for other) can be done. These stages (pratigyāna, hetu, dristanta, upnaya and nigmana) \(^3\) have their own importance to find actual sadhya. These six stages have been used to get inferential knowledge for both swarthanumān and pararthanumān. The panchvayavavakya firstly used for own's inference and after that inference for others. The vaidhya can use similar steps of inference to know the diagnosis of disease. Similarly for clinical point of view, these panchavayavakya can also be used as investigative tool in diagnosis of disease that creates more interest in scholars to understand its practical utility in spite of mere theoretical use only.

This article represents the concept of panchavayava for scholars to understand its utility and applicability in easy way.

Aims and objective- the aims and objectives are

1- To explain the concept of panchavayavā in more clinically in relation to general application.
2- To explore utility of concept of panchavayavā in more easy way

Materials and method- the available text books and literature relevant with topics have been explored to clear the topic. Some online review articles also have been explored.

Discussion- the Ayurveda principles are very easy to understand and their application for utility.

Before discussion we can correlate some terminology for better understanding the subject of discussion like

1- Hetu \(^4\) with whose knowledge the existence of object is proved on paksha. Example-signs and symptoms of disease are considered as hetu which reveals the knowledge of the object (disease) existed indirectly.

2- Sadhya \(^5\) the existence or presence of object which is to be proved on paksha. it is
indirectly exists on paksha. Example-disease of patient.

3- paksha- sandigdhsadhyaavanpaksha
The subject or place where the object to be proved(sadhya) is suspected So a patient is also considered as paksha because he has some disease (as sadhya) which is suspected with presence of hetu(sign and symptoms) in his body until diagnosis.

4- Sapksha- nischitsadhyaavansapakshah the place or subject where the sadhyadeinitely existed.example- yathamahanas8

5- Vipaksha- nischitsadhyaaabhavvanvipakshah, the place or subject where the non-existence or absence of sadhya is proved already.

6- Vyapti- hetusadhayayohavinabhavsambandahvyaptith10, it is the relation between hetu and sadhya. It represents a kind of relation between the two things in which one must be necessarily present wherever the other is existed. Example-yatrataradhoomahtatratrayrahvahnaaryitirisesa aranyiyanamvyaptith.

7- Paramarsa-vyaptivishistapakshadhatratmyaanampramarsha11the paramarsha is the knowledge of reason existing on paksha by invariable concomitance.

8- Pakshadharmata(special feature of a subject)- vyapayasyaparitadiviratvampakshacharmat a12the existence of hetu on paksha is called dharmata example- existence of smoke on mountain
The panchavayava vakya can be representing different steps of approach for diagnosis-

Pratigyaan-(proposition)
‘pratigyaannasadhyaavachanan’13 indication of sadhya is denoted as pratigyaan. It also denotes as firm determination of declaration of the thing to be proved in an object. As in example ‘the mountain is fiery’ is known as pratigyaan. Similarly when sadhya decided with firm determination to prove, it is considered as pratigyaan.

In clinical point of view, when a patient with his complaints comes to vaidhya then ‘present sign and symptoms belongs to which disease?’ is considered as pratigyaan for doctor. Because ‘diagnosis of disease’ is the only sadhay has been decided by doctor. This is termed as pratigyaan of doctor.

Hetu-(reasoning)- as per Acharya charak ‘yasyagyayanenapakshhesadhyasyasiddhiahvatisahe tuhheturnamanubhikaranam’14
The mean for obtaining the knowledge is known as hetu.it is also termed as linga. As in example ‘iloomar’ because of smoke, the mountain has fire been proved.

Hetu are again two types -yatharthhetu – which are capable of reaching up to compatible sadhya. And ayatharthhetu- which resembles like yathrrhetu but are not capable of reaching sadhya known as hetvabhas15 or asadhetu.

Clinically, various sign and symptoms described by patient are termed as hetuthat are used by doctor to diagnose the diseases(sadhya). These hetu can be divided as technical terms-signs and symptoms –non technical terms. The information collected from patient in the form of signs and symptoms have been used as tools by doctor to reach up to exact disease. But again doctor have to insure first that this information must have clinically justified (yatharthhetu) not ayatharthhetu.

Example- in apashmar disease, the patient has episodes (vegakala) and acts during episode cannot be remembered by him17, sometimes urine or stool or both may be passed, may have serious tongue bite, also may have serious fall injuries on body parts etc., during the tonic- clonic episode of fits. But in yoshapashmar18(hysteria19) patient never have any serious injury, never pass urine or stool in cloths, not having tongue bite injury or tooth injury and acts during episode can be clearly described but patient.in yoshapashmar patient there are signs present that resembles with apashmar patient but clinically that are false in nature in comparison to apashmar.20

Here the signs of yoshapashmar can be termed as ayathrrhetu whereas sign of apashmar are yatnarthhetu. If these hetus will not be thoroughly examined and analyzed by doctor than one can confused with representation of both the disease.
The term *hetu* have commonly two meanings used in *samhitagranta* 1- cause of disease (*nidan*) and 2- *hetu* as sadhan/tools for diagnosis of disease(nidanpanchak – nidan-purvarooop-roop-upshaya/anupshyaa-sampapti)[21] Here second meaning of *hetu* has been taken as investigative tool to diagnose of disease.

**Dristanta-**
(example)dristantaamyatramurkhavidushambudhisamyam_yovarnyamvanarvanti[22]

The suitable example(dristanta) always necessary and helpful to understand the subject matter. This may be *sapaksha*, or *vipkshadristanta*. *sapakshadristanta* directly support the subject whereas *vipakshadristanta* indirectly support the subject of discussion.

**Example- Yathamahanash,** that which is smoky that is fiery such as kitchen, here both *hetu* and *sadhya* resides together definitely.

The examples may be that can be easily under stable by both wise and fool. Here word ‘wise’ denotes the upper limit of intelligence while word ‘fool’ denotes the lower limit of intelligence. In spite of this, all other who comes within upper and lower limit also indirectly considered in it. It means every *vaidhya* who has proper knowledge of treatise (aprodesh) and pratyaksh (experienced and skilled in practical examinations) can analyses his experience and knowledge retrospectively with present *hetu-sadhya* relation of patient. This must be also considered as *sapakshadristanta*. As we know that in absence of *sapakshadristanta*, the process of *anuman* cannot be completed.

Clinically the sign and symptoms described in text as per disease and previous experience all are considered as suitable example for the same.

**Upanayan(application)-**
vyaptivishistalingasyapakshadharmatayahvachana mmupanayah[23]

A sentence denoting to the reason of a cause accompanied by invariable concomitance is known as upanaya.it is also means to support *sadhya* according to example.

Example-the mountain has smoke as distinguished by invariable concomitance with fire. Similarly when the *vaidhya* compares and correlates *hetu-sadhya* relation both in pratyaksha (present patient) and apratyaksha (textual or experienced references) with suitable evidences.it may include various examinations, textual and practical experiences etc. So *upanayan* is the process of gathering of all supporting evidences prospectively and retrospectively for diagnosis of disease. And once the final diagnosis is being made the treatment can be easier.

Clinically also experienced based on previous knowledge helps to analyses the signs and symptoms of disease by both anvaya(positive correlation) and vatireka[24](negative correlation) method. The patients have similar or not have similar signs and symptoms that are described in the text or previously experienced may justify the condition accordingly. It helps to analysis the condition of patient that makes sure about correct diagnosis.

**Nigman(conclusion)-**
hetvadeshatpratgyapunarvachanannignman[25]

Conclusion based on previous discussion and stating the pratigyan again with confirmation

Example- dhumatvatparvatavahanniman (the mountain is fiery on account of smokiness) similarly to get final diagnose the disease can be considered as nigman where the actual pratigyan has been repeated with same proposition to know the disease.

**Conclusion-**

The Ayurveda principles are easy to understand, their practical utility with suitable examples which proves them more useful to understand. This creates more faith and believes specially in new scholars who are just introducing with Ayurveda as clinical subject.
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